

A Regular meeting of the Board of Selectmen was held on Tuesday, July 30, 2013 beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Main Meeting Room of the Town Hall. The following members were present:

Mark Gallivan, Chairman
Michael Berry, Vice Chairman
Nancy Mackenzie, Clerk
Christopher Timson
Clifton Snuffer, Jr.

Also present:
Michael Boynton, Town Administrator
Cindy Berube, Executive Assistant

Mr. Gallivan called the meeting to order followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND PROCLAMATIONS

SPONSORED AGENDA ITEMS

7-7 Walpole Park South (WPS), Street Acceptance

This was an article on the STM and it was voted to send back to committee. We needed to do a better job at Town Meeting with respect to the history. To determine next steps on this project. Discussions with Mr. Murphy and S&W, the force main became clouded. Met with DPW and S&W chair about next steps to move forward, and left the meeting with an article to accept streets. The Chair raised questions, they voted to recommend that the town become the owners to the pump station and appurtenances.

Mrs. Mackenzie stated she was not sure she should participate in this discussion, I will step down. She lives on Pine Street but is not a direct abutter to the site. Mr. Boynton explained it is discussion at this point, just guidance.

Attorney Macchi Jr. and Dan Merrikin, engineer were before the Board to answer any questions relative to this subject. Mr. Macchi noted the Town Administrator has really told you everything. Mr. Murphy is willing to work with the town whether you want to accept the force main or not. We are asking for direction you would like to see this progress. If you decide the town take everything I would ask that you leave the article on for the easement we would not have to wait we can move forward.

Mr. Snuffer questioned for the acceptance or taking of a pump station is that 2/3 or simple majority? He believes 2/3 vote. He joined Mr. Gallivan at the Sewer and

Water meeting and they would not take pump stations, the discussion took place, the dialogue went quickly, then they want to take the pump station. This was a BOS article, back in Jan 2013, someone came across an agreement with WPS, clearly shows D. Murphy indicating willingness to accept the O&M of the pump station and no reason for us to consider taking this. We are not charging Mr. Murphy on a personal nature, that cost is distributed between the leases. It seems to me the most pertinent document. I can not see, why the town would want to enter into any agreement for 25 year old pump station, that may need to be replaced, in the best interest of discussion and taxpayers, we should leave this in his ownership.

Mr. Boynton noted we are looking for guidance. I never heard this document brought up in any discussion. The reason it is here before you tonight. I am not here to make a recommendation. One says why do we want to take it, the other issue does the town want to have control over a pump that sits over the town aquifer. Contingencies in place with an escrow account to replace the pump if necessary. No mention of the force main in that document.

Mr. Gallivan stated his preference is something is going to feed our main I prefer we own it, we can mobilize quicker, I would like to own it. The goal was to take a look at the pump station.

Mr. Timson stated when he read the O&M document it doesn't seem to exclude the town from taking it and doing up keep. WPS has to pay for upkeep, the policy decision, if we take it and town takes control is that better for us? Cliff's point is right on point, if we do not have to pay for it we should not.

Mr. Snuffer felt the town would be taking ownership of an antiqued pump. He has heard quotes of up to \$150,000. I can't see the town entering into a situation with liabilities, business being shut down for sanitation.

Mr. Timson questioned what are the town's right to inspect if we are not the owner? Mr. Boynton stated you would have to have consent. I would imagine it would carry forward to any new owner. Mr. Timson suggested they may need to look at more legal input in terms of if we take it does WPS have to pay for maintenance or replacement.

Dan Merriken stated when the subdivision was designed back in 1986 it was to be taken by the town, done with that in mind. When we started going thru street acceptance, the sewer and water told us they did not want to take it. The individual owners needed access and easements rights, it is not a real policy statement as to who would maintain. Any maintenance will be done by Mr. Murphy prior to T. Meeting.

Mr. Berry is comfortable with the provisions of the S&W for Walpole Park South. To give town level of comfort we are not buying something that is going to break down.

Give and take from Mr. Murphy. We are being consistent with others in town. I would like to know what makes that different. Mr. Merriken believes they are applying the same conditions.

Mr. Mackenzie noted she lives in the neighborhood, not a direct abutter, I did not vote on anything to do with WPS. Knowing the concerns with pump stations, if we do not take it and something happens, we may not have an owner. Let's say a bank owns it. She likes the idea of having the gift account. If something happens to the pump station, how are we going to have this fixed? To make sure we control and maintain it. Things have happened to Eldor Drive. The one private one we do have, came out of a state requirement 40B, we have had nothing but issues with it. She understands it is an older station and a warranty. No one will know will happen in fifty yrs.

Mr. Timson questioned has the town taken any other commercial pump stations? Mr. Boynton noted we own stations in neighborhoods, Preserve, High Oaks and this one are private. You are going to have a private force main going into a public way. Mr. Timson agrees with the position that the aquifer is important, we have a history making that a concern, question is, is it different than a residential scenario, we are willing to take it but want an agreement for reimbursement of expenses. We can still maintain the right to fix but send out a bill. I am not saying that is a policy decision we should make. Funds are tight, if we have the opportunity to bill for something that we provide we should consider.

Attorney Macchi stated they have mentioned the O&M agreement, at this point, Donnell owns the road and pump station, the idea of public road agreements that T. Meeting allows this Board to accept the road. You decide what you are accepting. In the conveyance from the town, when it comes time to accept the conveyance of Easements and utilities is that everything or we exclude pump station/force main. If the town wants it we will make sure it is in a condition for the town to keep.

Mrs. Mackenzie noted each lot is separate and has a bldg. on it. We could keep it a private road. He could walk away. Leave that road high and dry. I am really worried about fifty years from now. There is nothing in this bond to go after. In protection of the town we should take ownership of it.

Mr. Timson agrees lets get this to as good a shape and up to date and take it and maintain it. We are in control.

Mr. Boynton noted a lot of work that needs to take place. He gets the sense the St acceptance will go forward. What we need to avoid is communication chain break down. He agrees there should be a second article on the warrant.

Mr. Snuffer feels it should remain privately owned. There is a signed document they will keep the pump station. He does not want a "white elephant" gift, if there is someone who is willing to keep it.

Mr. Timson noted you want to have photos at Town meeting. The perception this is old and decrypted. M. Berry wants the pump station brought up to date, contingency fee for upkeep and escrow for five years for further breakdowns. Give us additional coverage. Gives him assurances that everything continues operate he can get some of his money back.

Attorney Macchi stated we have no problem entering discussions to insure the town does not get a "white elephant". We would work to address those issues.

OPEN FORUM

Jeff Formica, resident on Nightingale Farm Rd. He is representing the group of neighbors present and they are concerned of a group home going on the road. They believe they are days away from a permit. They are seeking under the Dover amendment and met the criteria for the home, non profit, it meets setback and side yard requirements, educational purpose, submitted a letter to satisfy this. Allowing this will let anyone claiming the Dover amendment will get a 5 bedroom home in any zone in town. The repercussions of this but anyone in an R zone, it is a group home, for folks who have mental challenges and the concerns they have are safety for the residents of the home, the neighbors as there are no sidewalks, narrow part of North St. There is no Public transportation. Other zones may make it more suitable than this area. They seem to put the tested town under the Dover amendment, we do have a code that could be looked at 10A and 10B that deals with assisted living projects. Seems to be limited discussion on looking at the information. We believe there will be a granting of this permit, the Dover amendment does allow town to enact their own bylaws and code to address educational purposes. Perhaps those things are not being looked at.

Mr. Snuffer explained it has been filed and entered. By tomorrow the permit will be issued. He was interested in noting the owners went to the fire dept. He has had conversations about other group homes, it seemed fashionable for this town. In recovery of documents, dated June 11 to CI Reality, Executive office and finance. It issued a project number. Amongst the thirty plus towns that Walpole would be acceptable. How did we get on this list? The DDS is out pursuing the placement of these homes. He has a handicap granddaughter that I love very much. Why the situation that creates Walpole in an R zone as being a place for a group home. Are we going to see more? He would like the Board to investigate.

Mr. Formica state it is not about CIL, anyone that meets those requirements. It opens the door to anyone that wants to come in. It opens up any R zone for this use. The Dover amendment does allow for towns to adopt reasonable regulations.

Chapter 40A section 3. Asking you to look at 10A and 10B and look at the letter. We do not now much about the occupants. Who is going to assist these folks?

Mr. Boynton explained that with respect to 10A and 10B. That section was created for New Pond Village. Ask T. Counsel to look at this, that information has been reviewed, the Dover amendment trumps that. Push back on original application for further info. Per review of Counsel Jack will issue a permit. We will look to find out why Walpole is on the list. This has not been acted upon hastily by our Bldg dept.

Mr. Berry questioned what is the difference between Mikalyas way and this one. We do know what is proposed, at this point, what makes this an issue, resident number five, if we had four this would be allowed by right use. Mr. Berry question of public safety potential to pose danger to anyone in the neighborhood. Thinks back to Longview Farm and work with the state and have not found a fool proof way to deal with all those issues. Do we know what type of persons will be there? J. Mee explained the residents are not severely handicap to the point, thru the design of the home they have self preservation. They are able to meet the standards that you and I are. There is staff present 24 hours a day on duty.

Mr. Timson explained the town has followed this closely, heard concerns. To make sure as best as we can the rules are being followed, one thing I took away, the permit will be issued with conditions, if it does not meet the Dover requirements the permit can be pulled. J Mee five room group home, sprinkled that meets that criteria of the Dover amendment.

Mrs. Mackenzie who signs off on the document that says it is for education? Mr. Mee stated the corporation and T. Counsel has reviewed that. Mrs. Mackenzie noted it is a state agency; it is being leased to DDS /Commonwealth. Does the Dept of Education sign off? DDS is just social services in general make sure their plan is consistent. Mr. Boynton stated they do not sign off. We can get a letter out to DDS how you selected the communities on the list. There are 9 group homes in the communities, have not had much public safety issues. Mrs. Mackenzie would like to see send letter to other communities and delegates, there is a list, what do you think. MB lets get the first answer. We will see an appeal to the permit, what I do not want it to appear that we are discriminatory. Mrs. Mackenzie noted it is causing us to increase the bldg. inspector job or inspectional services. Reference this letter and ask them how we got there.

Mr. Snuffer noted it is a thirty year purchase agreement. DDS is paying to the entity. I think it is a financial document.

Mr. Gallivan asked the neighbors if they have been in contact with DDS regarding these types of homes. The more you can find out the better.

Mr. Timson wants to know do they pay property taxes?

6-22 Sanitarian Job description

Robin Chapell, and two members of the Board of Health, Clare and Carol were present. Mr. Boynton stated there were questions about the position; this is only an update of the existing job.

Mr. Snuffer noted the job description as written sanitarian/inspector. It should say part time. In reading the t. Report for the last several years we get two individuals one is the health agent for City of Attleboro and the other person from the town of Brookline. Expenditure of \$22,000 for this part time job and only \$15,000 for the consultants. He would like to see that continue. The most cost effective dept has been the BOH.

Robin explained Gail Nixon is not a certified sanitarian and before they can take the test they must have a bachelors degree and science courses. There are other food certifications that do not require before taking exam. We have an institute that can teach some of those things, training thru us and commonwealth. She noted it is only an 18 hour a week person. She did not want to ask for too much. There are different food certifications. This person is more than just food and they can improve what we have here.

Mr. Gallivan likes the job description and liked the idea of outside contracts, but this gives us more flexibility.

Robin is hoping this person is not just food, but pools. Getting message out for flu vaccine. Hoping this person can help with general wellness programs. Their first job would be inspections. She loves the consultants, we get a complaint, I give our contractors where they are going, they do not have the flexibility to go. Sometimes we go. Having a Sanitarian in office would help with this problem.

Mr. Timson stated your point is well taken Cliff, this has been voted on by T. Mtg. We should follow the will of the people. He does not have any issues with description. You want to have flexibility.

Mr. Berry questioned why would we have a position to require a bachelors degree, but you wouldn't required but be paid the same as someone who does.

Mr. Boynton explained the concept this is a person who will be required to do more than just food inspections. I agree the Sanitarian/Inspector being one job description. Maybe the title of this job should be different. We want the person that is most well rounded person. The way the job description is written is the same as others. Robin explained this person would be under her oversight.

MOTION moved by Mr. Berry to refer back to the Personal Board for further advisement whether these two positions should be separate with different education and certification requirements, seconded by Mr. Snuffer

Mr. Gallivan noted this is a junior level position that will get trained up all the way, you are thinking of someone who is certified and trained.

VOTED 5-0-0

7-8 Niden Woods, Bond Reduction

Mr. Snuffer recused himself for this discussion. Town Engineer, M. Walker explained the grass is growing well and the sidewalk work looks great. They have had no complaints about water in the basin.

MOTION moved by Mr. Berry to reduce the bond for Niden Woods Offsite Sidewalk work to \$5,253.84. These funds will be held for a period of one year, seconded by Mrs. Mackenzie VOTED 3-0-1 (Mr. Snuffer abstained)

Jean Ibrahim, C Scott's

Mr. Boynton explained the previous owner has told the office that the Manager of record, is not on site. Fouad Labaki explained he is still the Manger of Record 20-30 hours a week. He also works in Norwell about 40 hours a week. Mr. Boynton explained if the Manager of record is not there, the license can be brought to the board for violations. Walpole should not be getting the shorter end of the deal. Mr. Ibrahim explained they have someone else that could take over the job.

M. Berry-I am led to believe there was not a great transition of ownership. Is there a requirement that the manager of record be on site. Mr. Boynton explained the manager of record is on site to insure the license requirements are being complied with. You understand you are on the hook, should not be telling you where to spend the job. If there is a problem the manager is going to be on the hook for it. We have the assurance from you that you are responsible.

Mr. Snuffer explained in this town for these licenses manager of record high degree of anticipation that this person is present during the hours of business. You run a major risk to your own business if you are not there.

Mr. Timson is sure we would have asked how many hours he would there. We want to be sure the person responsible is there is in charge of what is going on. What shifts are you working? Mr. Labaki explained he is there 3-8 hours. Mr. Timson would prefer to have someone there as much time as possible. Mr. Gallivan explained his preference is the manager be there more hours than less. Mr. Snuffer agreed and felt it would be best to change the manager.

The Crease, Entertainment licenses

Attorney Jim Gully explained the current manager, Marshall Thomas found the duties overwhelming. Mr. Lightfoot is looking to be on the premises significant amount of time. They are also seeking entertainment licenses for televisions, jukebox and electronic games.

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to approve the entertainment licenses for The Crease for eleven televisions, one tape, player, a jukebox and two electronic games, seconded by Mrs. Mackenzie, VOTED 5-0-0

7-11 Change in Manager, The Crease

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to approve the change of Manager for Rebel Town, Inc. d/b/a "The Crease" to Alan W. Lightfoot, seconded by Mr. Snuffer VOTED 5-0-0

7-12 HOUSING PRODUCTION PLAN, Executive Summary

PGC Associates, Inc. of Franklin Ma presented a PowerPoint summary of the Housing Production Plan. The Housing production plan is an update of the 2008 planned production plan for affordable housing. The data shows the biggest increase will be Seniors and ages young adults 25-39. Showed the type of housing units in town, and the average cost in town compared to surrounding towns. Reviewed the Goals to accomplish affordable housing. Goal seven; encourage new housing in areas close to services and amenities especially downtown and E. Walpole is new. They discussed the implementation strategies to implement the goals.

Mr. Boynton-there is a proposal on the table for 174 units if they come on board. Does that mean the town can put a hiatus on future projects? Gino explained if you increase by 1% you get at least two years. We have been trying to accelerate this. They discussed if there are rental housing in the town presently that has not been categorized and we go through a categorization. Can we count that? Gino if they were to receive subsidy, local initiative units, you could add them to the count. You would have to negotiate with owners. You would have to restrict to specific location. It is not out of the realm of possibility. Looked at the cost involved.

Mrs. Mackenzie would like to see the history of Foxboro and Westwood to see how they got there where they are.

Moving forward the town has to update the plan but then have to have someone come along and work with them and all would be applied. It was explained it is a two step process. The actual update approved by Selectmen and Planning Board. That is how you get relief from an unfriendly 40B. If the town does nothing we have no protection, given where the town is with Moosehill. You are talking several

months from beginning when it is filed to approval. What does DHCH look at in good faith? If another 40B is filed prior to approval does it give the town the 2 year window? Ms. Mercandetti will reach out to DHCD.

It was discussed if the Board adopts this, they should probably request the Planning Board look into some type of inclusionary zoning bylaw for the town. You never reach goal if you don't have that setup in place.

Mrs. Mackenzie suggested asking the Housing Partnership to work with MPIC and take a look at this plan get the answers as to how Foxboro got numbers and Westwood, look at their recommendations, to give us a plan of action. The MPIC has someone for all the groups. It was explained the Housing partnership has been studying inclusionary and looked at 2 dozen and met with PB, they asked for successful projects in other communities, start look at rough draft. It is being looked at Housing partnership.

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to adopt the Housing production Plan presented by PCG Associates inc, seconded by Mrs. Mackenzie 4-0-1 (Mr. Snuffer abstained) He will abstain as he just received the information tonight.

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to send a memo to Planning Board and or MPIC , Housing Partnership letting them know we adopted and interested on their thoughts on inclusionary zoning and how we should move forward, seconded by Mr. Berry, VOTED 5-0-0

7-16, 7-30 Use A. Farm, Wedding , One day beer and Wine

Board received a request to hold a wedding at A. Farm along with a Beer and Wine license. The event is scheduled for October 26, 2013. The request has been approved by the A. Farm Committee. Ms. Holland explained her family lives on North street and they have a connection with the property. They love it. They will be using an enclosed tent, rent porta potties, bartending service and police detail.

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to approve the use of Adams farm on October 26th from Noon to 9:00 p.m. for a wedding and to approve a one Day Beer/Wine for Abigail Holland at Adams Farm on October 26, 2013 from Noon to 8:00p.m. Nm to approve both request, seconded by Mr. Snuffer VOTED 5-0-0

Discussion on Gillette Stadium traffic

Chief Stillman explained they had a plan last year, but ended up with major traffic problem in Walpole The stadium has agreed to additional officers. He thanked them for doing the right thing, disappointed with Foxboro and the state for their plan.

Mrs. Mackenzie suggested with respect to the lots in an effort to maintain some level of consistency with Foxboro, what I ask you to consider schedule lot openings for the same time as other lots, but to allow the Chief or his agent allow the opening Walpole lots earlier if needed.

The Chief noted that once they fill those lots they are going to tailgate. That can be a situation we have to deal with. Tailgating may happen earlier, but people were just pulling over, then it moved it down to E. Walpole. Moving the problem around. Letters are being sent to Parking lot owners reminding them of their capacity. Parking on residential properties is not authorized is not allowed and will be inspected.

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to allow the parking lots relating to Gillette Stadium to open earlier if needed, seconded by Mr. Timson VOTED 5-0-0

7-13 HR Liaison for Civil Service

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to appoint Deputy Chief John Carmichael as the liaison to Human Resources Divisions, Civil Service Unit seconded by Mr. Berry VOTED 4-0-1 (Mrs. Mackenzie abstained)

7-14 Fall Town Meeting Warrant

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to open the Fall Town Meeting Warrant to be held on October 21, 2013 and close it on August 27, 2013, seconded by Mrs. Mackenzie VOTED 5-0-0

7-15 Hardship Application , Ambulance Service

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to approve the Hardship Application for Run Number 77910 as the applicant meets the requirements, seconded by Mr. Berry, VOTED 5-0-0

7-17 Use of A. Farm, Woman's Engineers

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to approve use of A. Farm on August 29 from 5:30 to 9:00, seconded by Mrs. Mackenzie, VOTED 5-0-0

7-18 Conservation, Use of Wetland Fee

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to approve the use of \$1,000 from the Wetlands Protection Fund for purchase of a laptop computer, seconded by Mr. Snuffer, VOTED 5-0-0

7-19 Live Entertainment, Oak St

MOTION moved by Mr. Boynton to approve the live entertainment for David Smith for August 31 , 2013 from 2:00p.m. to 9:00p.m. seconded by Mr. Timson, VOTED 5-0-0

7-20 Naming of Boyden School Playground

Received a letter from the School Committee regarding Volunteers who are donating the equipment and workers to install a new playground at Boyden School in honor of a parent who past away.

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to name the new Boyden School playground the "Colleen O'Farrell playground" seconded by Mr. Snuffer 5-0-0

7-21 EDIC Re-appointment

MOTION moved by Mr. Berry to re-appoint Mark Resnick to the EDIC for a term to expire on July 1, 2016, seconded by Mr. Timson, VOTED 5-0-0

7-22 Bid Award, 2013-27

MOTION moved by Mr. Berry to award contract 2013-27 to North Eastern Tree Service, Inc. Cranston, RI for the weekday and emergency hourly rates as reflected on the bid sheet from August 1, 2013 to July 31, 2014 with the option to renew for two additional consecutive years seconded by Mr. Snuffer, VOTED 5-0-0

7-23 Bid Award, 2014-01

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to award contract 2014-01 to A.D. & T. Enterprises, Inc, Walpole, MA for \$48,690.00 seconded by Mr. Berry , VOTED 5-0-0

7-24 Gift Acceptance

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to accept the gift of \$875.00 in memory of Chief Leonard Anderson , seconded by Mr. Berry, VOTED 5-0-0

7-25 Gift Acceptance

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to accept the gift of \$100.00 in memory of Chief Leonard Anderson from Erin & Mark Anderson, seconded by Mr. Berry, VOTED 5-0-0

7-26 Park Bench, Gift

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to accept the gift of a new park bench for the Woodward Playground from the Walpole Junior Women's club with thanks, seconded by Mr. Berry, VOTED 5-0-0

7-27 & 7-28 Gift, Concerts on the Common

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to accept the gift of \$500.00 from Sharon Credit Union for concerts on the common and with thanks, seconded by Mr. Berry, VOTED 5-0-0

TA UPDATE

Moose Hill Road 40B

Paving- has been done at Boyden school, It is underway. One street held off is Front street until we see the cost.

Senior floor project-the seniors are very pleased. It is a big improvement. It was a safety issue it had to be done.

Library Driveway-Stop sign needed. Met with Chief and Bob O'Brien there seems to be an increase in traffic from the library driveway, near misses in the parking lot. Erect a stop sign .

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to approve the STOP sign, seconded by Mr. Timson, VOTED 5-0-0

High plain street tank- the tank is done. The old tanks have to come down. There is some speckling of the ground and require LSP that the soil is taken care of.

Turner Dam repairs-met with peter Barrill, GZA, met with state, cost is \$341,000 the target with seek funding at FTM. To commence design and make repairs next year.

Citizen training academy-municipal government 101. Offer it to 25 residents there will be a lecture discussion, how does the budget process happen.

FY2013 & FY2014 Update-numbers are looking positive, the year we are in currently looking at needs for the police dept. We will have a spike in the 2015 debt budget. It appears the Prison Mitigation has been over vetoed by the legislation. Thank them again. A big thank you for our representatives. For the override of the budget.

MOTIN moved by Mrs. Mackenzie to send a letter to the Representatives thanking them for their support, seconded by Mr. Berry, VOTED 5-0-0

NEW BUSINESS/OLD BUSINESS

MINUTES

MOTION moved by Mr. Berry to approve the minutes of June 4, 2013, June 12, June 25 and July 2 seconded by seconded by Mr. Timson , VOTED 5-0-0

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Chairman's declaration:

I hereby declare that:

- Under G.L. c.30A, §21(a)(3) the purpose of the executive session will be
 - to discuss strategy with respect to potential litigation and that I determine that discussing the matter at an open meeting may have a detrimental effect on the town's position.

- That the Board of Selectmen shall return to open session only for the purposes of adjourning.

MOTION moved by Mrs. Mackenzie that the Board go into executive session to discuss strategy with respect to potential litigation, because the Board's chairman has declared that discussing the matter in open session may have a detrimental effect on the litigating position of the Town and the Board will return to open session for the purposes of adjourning, seconded by Mr. Timson, VOTED ROLL CALL Mr. Timson-Aye, Mrs. Mackenzie-Aye, Mr. Berry-Aye, Mr. Snuffer-Aye and Mr. Gallivan-Aye

MOTION moved by Mr. Timson to adjourn at 11:35 p.m., seconded by Mrs. Mackenzie, VOTED 5-0-0